

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/802,841	03/09/2001	Steven Francis Colborne	LEICA/9	8013			
2292 7.	7590 11/30/2004 EXAMINER						
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	CORRIELU	CORRIELUS, JEAN B				
- + , . ,	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
			2637	2637			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			09/802,841		COLBORNE ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Jean B Corrielus		2637			
T Period for R	the MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appe	ars on the cover sheet	t with the co	rrespondence a	ddress		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC is of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) ided for reply is specified above, the maximum staturely within the set or extended period for reply wireceived by the Office later than three months after them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136 ication. days, a reply w tory period will II, by statute, ca	(a). In no event, however, may vithin the statutory minimum of apply and will expire SIX (6) N ause the application to become	y a reply be time thirty (30) days MONTHS from the ABANDONED	oly filed will be considered time mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status								
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>09 Mai</u>	rch 2001.					
2a)∐ Th	<u> </u>							
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊠ Cla	aim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the ap Of the above claim(s) is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 9-26 is/are rejected. aim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawr						
Application	Papers							
9)□ The	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)□ The	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
App	plicant may not request that any objecti	on to the dr	awing(s) be held in abe	yance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be					• •		
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)□ <i>A</i> 1.[2.[3.[=	ocuments locuments locumen	have been received. have been received ir y documents have be (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Applicatio en received	n No I in this National	l Stage		
Attachment(s)	•		·	4				
	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie					
3) 🔲 Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT (s)/Mail Date				e tent Application (PT	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/802,841

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-26 objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, line 3, "in a" should be "in the/said" so as to make use of antecedent in line 2; is the recitation "the received code signals" in lines 12-13, the same as the recitation "received signals" in line 3, if so, lines 12-13 should be amended to recite "the received signals" for consistency; the same comment applies to line 15 (last line). Claim 2, line 5, "values" should be deleted so as to be consistent with antecedent in claim 1, line 12. Claim 6, line 6, "may" should be deleted. Claim 9, line 3, "a GPS receiver" should be "said/the GPS receiver" so as to be consistent with antecedent in line 2; line 17, "a" should be "said/the" so as to be consistent with antecedent in line 1. Claim 11, line 2, "a" should be "the/said" so as to be consistent with antecedent in claim 9, line 4, "values" should be "value" so as to be consistent with antecedent in claim 10, line 7. Claim 14, line 3, "a receiver" should be "the/said receiver" so as to be consistent with antecedent in line 1. Claims 15-18, 20-21 and 24-26, line 1; "the" should be inserted before "apparatus", respectively. Claim 19, line 1, before apparatus, "an" should be inserted; line 6, "may" needs to be deleted. Claim 22, line 1, before apparatus, "an" should be inserted; line 4, "a GPS receiver" should be "said/the GPS receiver" so as to be consistent with antecedent in line 2; line 20, "a weighted signal window" should be "the/said weighted signal window" so as to be consistent with antecedent in line 1. Claims 23, line 1, the" should be inserted before "apparatus"; line 2, "a" should be "the/said" so as to be

Application/Control Number: 09/802,841 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

consistent with antecedent in claim 22, lines 17-18. Claim 24, line 4, "values" should be "value" so as to be consistent with antecedent in claim 23, line 2. Note that any claim whose base claim is objected is likewise objected. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 9-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "the received code rate", claim 9, line 10, lacks of proper antecedent basis. Claim 14, line 8, "the local clock signals" lacks of proper antecedent basis; line 13"the received code signals" lacks of proper antecedent basis. Claim 19, line 9, "the sub-sample clock signals" lacks of proper antecedent basis. Claim 22, line 11, "the received code rate" lacks of proper antecedent basis. Note that any claim whose base claim is rejected is likewise rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if amended to overcome the objection sets forth above.
- 5. Claims 9-26 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxi-Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-3086. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean B Corrielus
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2637

11-24.04